UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,652	02/05/2007	Christopher Anthony Budd	BARK127401	5437
	7590 04/01/2009 ENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC		EXAMINER	
1420 FIFTH AVENUE			WILBUR, NICHOLAS A	
SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4127	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/576,652	BUDD, CHRISTOPHER ANTHONY			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		NICHOLAS WILBUR	4127			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period fo	or Reply					
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Fe</u>	ebruary 2007				
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.				
3)	,—					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
- 4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>47-92</u> is/are pending in the application	1.				
احار:	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)🖂	Claim(s) 47-92 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
91□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
<b>A44</b> a = la ·	,4(a)					
Attachmer  1) Notice	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/576,652 Page 2

Art Unit: 4127

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 47-69, drawn to a breakwater device.

Group II, claims 70-87, drawn to a propulsive device.

Group III, claims 88-91, drawn to a multi-hulled vessel.

Group IV, claim 92, drawn to a protection means for vessels.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Group III and Group IV have no common technical features with any of the other groups, therefore groups III and IV lack unity of invention a priori as there is no subject matter common to all claims. The common technical feature between Group I and Group II is the plurality of floating structures. These elements cannot be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because the element is shown in the prior art. US Patent 3,846,990 teaches the use of a plurality of floating structures as an energy absorbing wave barrier substantially as claimed in claims 47-69 and further indicates that this feature could be applied to other

apparatus that need be made to float when placed into water. The reference specifically suggests using these types of floating structures used for a wave barrier.

Page 3

3. A telephone call was made to Lee Johnson on 03/19/2009 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to

Application/Control Number: 10/576,652

Art Unit: 4127

be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NICHOLAS WILBUR whose telephone number is (571)270-5746. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30 AM- 5 PM Every Other Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynda Jasmin can be reached on (571)272-6782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,652 Page 5

Art Unit: 4127

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/NICHOLAS WILBUR/ Examiner, Art Unit 4127

/Lynda Jasmin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4127